

CITY OF SOMERVILLE, MASSACHUSETTS ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS JOSEPH A. CURTATONE, MAYOR

MEMBERS

JOSH SAFDIE (ALT.)

HERBERT F. FOSTER, JR., CHAIRMAN ORSOLA SUSAN FONTANO, CLERK RICHARD ROSSETTI T. F. SCOTT DARLING, III, ESQ. DANIELLE FILLIS ELAINE SEVERINO (ALT.) Case #: ZBA 2008-44 Site: 23 Whitman Street

Date of Decision: October 15, 2008

Decision: <u>Petition Approved with Conditions</u>
Date Filed with City Clerk: October 22, 2008

ZBA DECISION

Applicant Name: Kevin Roy

Applicant Address: 161 Arnold Street, Revere, MA 02151

Property Owner Name: Michael Bavaro

Property Owner Address: 23 Whitman Street, Somerville, MA 02144

Agent Name: Peter Sandone

Agent Address: 9 Foster Street, Wakefield, MA 01880

<u>Legal Notice:</u> Applicant: Kevin Roy & Owner: Michael Bavaro seek a variance for

number of stories §8.5.f and a special permit §4.4.1 to add a third story to the dwelling. RA zone. Ward 7. (The Applicant changed the plans

and withdrew the variance request.)

Zoning District/Ward: RA zone/Ward 7

Zoning Approval Sought: §4.4.1

Date of Application:August 26, 2008Date(s) of Public Hearing:October 15, 2008Date of Decision:October 15, 2008

<u>Vote:</u> 5-0

Appeal #ZBA 2008-44 was opened before the Zoning Board of Appeals at Somerville City Hall on October 1, 2008. Notice of the Public Hearing was given to persons affected and was published and posted, all as required by M.G.L. c. 40A, sec. 11 and the Somerville Zoning Ordinance. After one (1) hearing(s) of deliberation, the Zoning Board of Appeals took a vote.

DESCRIPTION:

The revised proposal is to add a gable dormer to the left side of the dwelling that mimics the dormer on the right side. The dormer would be 16.5' in length. The Applicant is also proposing to add a two story deck into the rear yard.

Date: October 20, 2008 Case #:ZBA 2008-44 Site: 23 Whitman Street

FINDINGS FOR SPECIAL PERMIT (SZO §4.4.1):

In order to grant a special permit, the SPGA must make certain findings and determinations as outlined in §5.1.4 of the SZO. This section of the report goes through §5.1.4 in detail.

- 1. <u>Information Supplied:</u> The Board finds that the information provided by the Applicant conforms to the requirements of §5.1.2 of the SZO and allows for a comprehensive analysis of the project with respect to the required Special Permits.
- 2. <u>Compliance with Standards:</u> The Applicant must comply "with such criteria or standards as may be set forth in this Ordinance which refer to the granting of the requested special permit."

In considering a special permit under §4.4 of the SZO, the Board finds that the deck alterations and dormer proposed would not be substantially more detrimental to the neighborhood than the existing structure. The proposed deck would not be directly adjacent to the house next door. The proposed dormer would be above the adjacent house which is 1 ½ stories.

3. <u>Consistency with Purposes:</u> The Applicant has to ensure that the project "is consistent with (1) the general purposes of this Ordinance as set forth in Article 1, and (2) the purposes, provisions, and specific objectives applicable to the requested special permit which may be set forth elsewhere in this Ordinance, such as, but not limited to, those purposes at the beginning of the various Articles."

The deck and dormer proposal is consistent with the general purposes of the Ordinance and with the purpose of the RA district, which is, "[t]o establish and preserve quiet neighborhoods of one- and two-family homes, free from other uses except those which are both compatible with and convenient to the residents of such districts."

4. <u>Site and Area Compatibility:</u> The Applicant has to ensure that the project "(i)s designed in a manner that is compatible with the characteristics of the built and unbuilt surrounding area, including land uses."

The deck would be compatible with the site. It would not be visible from the front of the structure and the site would conform to the rear yard setback and landscaping requirements. The dormer would have the same design as the dormer on the right side of the house. It would be ten feet back from the front of the enclosed deck. The roof line and character of the house would not be changing dramatically. The window configuration on the proposed dormer would ideally mimic the existing dormer. A condition below would allow for this change to the window configuration with staff review and approval.

DECISION:

Present and sitting were Members Herbert Foster, Orsola Susan Fontano, Richard Rossetti, Scott Darling and Josh Safdie. Upon making the above findings, Susan Fontano made a motion to approve the request for a special permit. Scott Darling seconded the motion. Wherefore the Zoning Board of Appeals voted **5-0** to **APPROVE** the request. In addition the following conditions were attached:

Date: October 20, 2008 Case #:ZBA 2008-44 Site: 23 Whitman Street

#	Condition		Timeframe for Compliance	Verified (initial)	Notes
	Approval is for the construction of a 9' x 12', 2-story deck in the rear and a 16.5' gable dormer on the left side of the dwelling. This approval is based upon the following application materials and the plans submitted by the Applicant:		BP/CO	Plng.	
	Date	Submission			
1	August 26, 2008 September 30, 2008 Any changes to the approved not <i>de minimis</i> must receive 7	Initial application submitted to the City Clerk's Office and/or OSPCD (1: proposed basement & first floor, 7: existing elevations & floor plans) OSPCD Stamp (2: 2 nd & 3 rd floor plans, 3: right & front elevation, 4: left & rear elevation) site plan or elevations that are			
2	The window configuration on the proposed dormer may be revised to match that of the existing dormer (2, one-over-one windows spaced proportionally) without requiring additional approval.		BP	Plng.	
3	A code compliant fire alarm system shall be installed.		СО	FP	
4	The Applicant shall contact Planning Staff at least five working days in advance of a request for a final sign-off on the building permit to ensure the proposal was constructed in accordance with the plans and information submitted and the conditions attached to this approval.		Final sign off	Plng.	

Date: October 20, 2008 Case #:ZBA 2008-44 Site: 23 Whitman Street

City Clerk Date

Attest, by the Zoning Board of Appeals:	Herbert Foster, <i>Chairman</i> Orsola Susan Fontano, <i>Clerk</i> Richard Rossetti T.F. Scott Darling, III, Esq. Josh Safdie (Alt.)
Attest, by the Zoning Board of Appeals Administrative Assist Copies of this decision are filed in the Somerville City Clerk's office. Copies of all plans referred to in this decision and a detailed record of the SPGA proceedings are filed in the Somerville Planning Dept.	Dawn M. Pereira
CLERK'S CERTIFICATE And appeal of this decision must be filed within towards down	a often the data this nation is filed in the Office of the
Any appeal of this decision must be filed within twenty day City Clerk, and must be filed in accordance with M.G.L. c. 40	
In accordance with M.G.L. c. 40 A, sec. 11, no variance sh certification of the City Clerk that twenty days have elapsed a Clerk and no appeal has been filed, or that if such appeal h recorded in the Middlesex County Registry of Deeds and ind of record or is recorded and noted on the owner's certificate of	fter the decision has been filed in the Office of the City has been filed, that it has been dismissed or denied, is exed in the grantor index under the name of the owner
Also in accordance with M.G.L. c. 40 A, sec. 11, a special pbearing the certification of the City Clerk that twenty days Office of the City Clerk and either that no appeal has been recorded in the Middlesex County Registry of Deeds and ind of record or is recorded and noted on the owner's certifical appealed Special Permit does so at risk that a court will reunder the permit may be ordered undone.	have elapsed after the decision has been filed in the filed or the appeal has been filed within such time, is exed in the grantor index under the name of the owner te of title. The person exercising rights under a duly
The owner or applicant shall pay the fee for recording or re Inspectional Services shall be required in order to proceed wi and upon request, the Applicant shall present evidence to recorded.	th any project favorably decided upon by this decision,
This is a true and correct copy of the decision filed on and twenty days have elapsed, and FOR VARIANCE(S) WITHIN there have been no appeals filed in the Office of the any appeals that were filed have been finally dismis FOR SPECIAL PERMIT(S) WITHIN there have been no appeals filed in the Office of the there has been an appeal filed.	City Clerk, or sed or denied.

Signed____